Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/684,334	FUJIWARA, RYUHEI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Non-Final	
(1) <u>Kambiz Zand</u> .	(3)	·	
(2) Phillip j. Artigola.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 February 2005	Time: <u>2 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
claim 5 was discussed for minor informalities.			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	•		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a variety of the substance of	written summary of the subst the interview, since the inte	stance
		·	
•			
MMM			
·/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Annlicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate	Y
(Applical)	o, applicant o i topi cociliativ		,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed of typo errors with respect to claims 1 and 5. It was agreed the typo errors be corrected by Examiner's amendment by deleting the phrase "server" from line 4 of the claim 5; and replacement of the phrase "a" line 28 of claim 1 with the phrase "said" in order to expedite the prosecution of the application.